

Migrants benefit their host society in many ways

Do you agree or disagree?

There have been innumerable cases all over the history of civilization in which a group of people had to abandon their country of origin. All of these individuals have their own reasons. Some fleeing poverty and seeking better welfare and the others escaping the fear of war and the mass destruction in their hometown. Whatever their causes and motives are this phenomenon has consequences for those countries who want to lodge these new comers. Therefore, it might entice a part of ~~the a~~-host society to oppose migration whilst conversely persuades the other part such to be proponents of migrants' right. When it comes to this particular controversy I tend to accept the fact that having asylum-seekers in our country not only ~~has~~ **have** countless benefits, but also is an important part of our moral responsibility in regard to other humanitarian issues.

From my point of view, it is our duty to care about others' ~~s~~ **s** sufferings and miseries without considering their ethnic and nationality. Indeed such an empathy makes us different, otherwise we do not have much to be proud of as a human. Moreover, many believe that everybody should be free to choose the place in which they would like to settle down. Thus, it is one of the most prominent parts of a quite distinguished and so called international agreement which is known as the human right.

~~In At~~ the second place, many including human resource experts point out that immigration is an effective solution to compensate for the lack of experienced workers due to over-aged population. They also claim that it fuels advancing industries with young and fresh workforces to make it grow at a much faster pace. In addition to this, educated professionals from other countries create diversity in the job market which is a key element ~~in having to have~~ a sustainable development in every country who wants to have a flourishing and strong economy.

On the other hand, a group of critics such as conservative political parties have always questioned those authorities and social figures who have garnered acclaim for their positive migration statements. they usually mention that the new arrivals may not strive enough for integration into their new society because they often come from ~~quite a a~~ **quite a** distinct cultural and educational background. in consequence, they lack ~~the~~ **the** sense of belonging which leads them not to accept their new identity, even though they live in a place other than their own birth place for decades.

Overall, despite the fact that migration may have real obstacles for the migrants and their hosts both, the upsides of migration for both sides ~~s~~ **s** are quite magnificent and convincing to be ignored or denied although we must make sure that we are ready to devise a precise plan to teach our new residence the language they are supposed to communicate with alongside the other cultural features of our own to encourage them to create fortified and strong ties to their new home.